ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No - MA- 10 of 2019 (OA-899 of 2017).

Beauti Begam Siddique. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date			
Date of order.	2	and dated signature			
1		of parties when necessary 3			
	None				
01	For the Applicant : None				
09/01/2019					
	For the State Respondents: Mrs. Sunita Agarwal, Ld. Advocate.				
	None for the applicant. The instant				
	application has been filed praying for recalling of the				
	order dated 10.12.2018 passed in MA 81 of 2018				
	arising out of MA 25 of 2018 in relation with the OA				
	899 of 2017. The counsel for the respondent has				
	drawn our attention to the averments made by the				
	applicant in the instant application and has				
	submitted that this Tribunal earlier dismissed the				
	original application for non-appearance of the				
	applicant vide order dated 15.02.18. Subsequently,				
	the applicant filed one MA-29 of 2018 praying for				
	recalling of the order dated 15.02.2018. However,				
	the said MA was dismissed for default vide order				
	dated 23.04.18. Again the applicant preferred				
	another MA-81 of 2018 praying for recalling of the				
	order dated 23.04.18, but, again the said MA was				
	dismissed for default as well as on the ground of				
	being defective application vide order dated				
	10.12.2018. Thereafter, the instant application has				
L	l .	1			

ORDER SHEET

Form No.	
1 01111 140.	***************************************

Bea	uti Begam	Siddiqu	e .

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA- 10 o	f 2019 (OA-899 of 2017).	T
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	been preferred praying for recalling of the order	
	dated 10.12.2018. However, even today none	
	appears for the applicant. The counsel for the	
	applicant has prayed for rejection of the MA. Heard	
	the counsel for the respondents and perused the	
	records. It is noted that the applicant is repeatedly	
	not appearing before the court however after being	
	dismissed for default, he repeatedly filed different	
	applications, but remained absent. Even the earlier	
	and instant MA is also defective one. In view of the	
	above, it seems that the applicant has lost his	
	interest to pursue the case properly. Accordingly,	
	MA is dismissed for default.	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	